

# EDEH Webinar Concept Note

## Quality, Interoperability & Inclusion in Adult Learning: Rethinking Provider Assurance in Digital Ecosystems

### Overview

Across Europe, adult learning ecosystems are becoming increasingly digital, interconnected, and outcome-oriented.

As countries move toward registry-based systems and explore funding mechanisms such as Individual Learning Accounts, one challenge becomes central: how to ensure quality while keeping adult learning inclusive, diverse, and realistically achievable for all providers, especially small non-formal ones.

This 60-minute webinar brings together experts in research, policy, and national registry operations to explore how quality assurance and interoperability intersect.

Through three high-level inputs and a hands-on digital workshop, participants will gain a clearer understanding of the tensions, trade-offs, and practical considerations involved in building trustworthy and inclusive adult learning ecosystems.

### Objectives

- Examine how “quality” is defined across formal and non-formal adult learning contexts.
- Understand the operational realities of running national adult learning registries.
- Discuss what data governments want versus what providers can realistically deliver.
- Highlight how interoperability requirements shape quality expectations.
- Enable participants to reflect on proportionality, feasibility, and inclusion when designing quality frameworks.

### Target Audience

Digital education professionals, policymakers, QA bodies, registry designers, adult learning practitioners, and stakeholders working with provider assurance and interoperability.

Time (CET)	Session	Description
00:00– 00:05	<b>Welcome &amp; Framing</b>	Stefan (KIC) introduction; why interoperability & quality matter jointly; Intro to Miro with short poll on strictness of QA in adult learning
00:05– 00:12	<b>Input 1: Zvonka Pangerc-Pahernik</b>	<i>“Running a National Registry: Balancing Quality, Inclusivity &amp; Feasibility”</i> Practical challenges, examples from Slovenia, and what data is realistically obtainable.
00:12– 00:19	<b>Input 2 – Maja Brkljačić</b>	<i>“Research Insights: Provider QA in Diverse Adult Learning Ecosystems”</i> Formal vs non-formal tensions, proportionality, risks of over-engineering QA.
00:19– 00:26	<b>Input 3 – Angeliki Giannakopoulou</b>	<i>“Non-formal Learning, Inclusion &amp; the Policy View on Quality”</i> Ensuring QA systems enable rather than exclude; European perspectives.
00:26– 00:28	<b>Transition to Workshop</b>	Synthesis of key themes and instructions for the Miro activity by Stefan (KIC)
00:28– 00:40	<b>Miro Workshop – Part 1</b>	<b>Defining Quality:</b> Participants position themselves on spectra (strict vs light QA; what quality should prioritise). Highlights diversity of viewpoints.
00:40– 00:55	<b>Miro Workshop – Part 2</b>	<b>Realistic Data Requirements:</b> Mapping “desired data” vs “feasible data” for formal and non-formal providers, highlighting gaps and proportionality challenges.
00:55– 01:00	<b>Closing Reflections</b>	Moderator summary: complexity of QA, implications for funding, and importance of designing interoperable yet inclusive adult learning systems.